

Variational Optimal-Control Problems with Delayed Arguments on Time Scales

**Thabet Abdeljawad (Maraaba), Fahd Jarad,
Dumitru Baleanu¹**

Department of Mathematics and Computer Science
Çankaya University, 06530 Ankara, Turkey

Abstract

This article deals with variational optimal-control problems on time scales in the presence of delay in the state variables. The problem is considered on a time scale unifying the discrete, the continuous and the quantum cases. Two examples in the discrete and quantum cases are analyzed to illustrate our results.

Keywords: Time scale, Delay, nabla derivative, q-derivative, Control, Euler-Lagrange equations.

1 Introduction

The calculus of variations interacts deeply with some branches of sciences and engineering e.g. geometry, economics, electrical engineering and so on [16]. Optimal control problems appear in various disciplines of sciences and engineering as well [19].

Time scale calculus was initiated by Hilger (see ref. [13] and the references therein) having in mind to unify two existing approaches of dynamic models-difference and differential equations into a general framework. This kind of calculus can be used to model dynamic processes whose time domains are more complex than the set of integers or real numbers [10]. Several potential applications for this new theory were reported (see for example Refs.[10], [4], [12] and the references therein). Many researchers studied calculus of variations on time scales. Some of them followed the delta approach and some others followed the nabla approach (see for example Refs. [17], [15], [8], [18], [2] and [20]).

It is well known that the presence of delay is of great importance in applications. For example, its appearance in dynamic equations, variational problems and optimal control problems may affect the stability of solutions. Very recently, some authors paid the attention to the importance of imposing the delay in fractional variational problems [6]. The non-locality of the fractional

¹On leave of absence from Institute of Space Sciences, P.O.BOX, MG-23, R 76900, Magurele-Bucharest, Romania, Emails: dumitru@cankaya.edu.tr, baleanu@venus.nipne.ro

operators and the presence of delay as well may give better results for problems involving the dynamics of complex systems. To the best of our knowledge, there is no work in the direction of variational optimal-control problems with delayed arguments on time scales.

Our aim in this article is to obtain the Euler-Lagrange equations for a functional, where the state variables of its Lagrangian are defined on a time scale whose backward jumping operator is $\rho(t) = qt - h$, $q > 0$, $h \geq 0$. This time scale, of course, absorbs the discrete, the continuous and the quantum cases. The state variables of this Lagrangian allow the presence of delay as well. Then, we generalize the results to the n-dimensional case. Dealing with such a very general problem enables us to recover many previously obtained results [3, 11, 7, 14].

The structure of the article is as follows:

In section 2 basic definitions and preliminary concepts about time scale are presented. The nabla time scale derivative analysis is followed there. In section 3 the Euler-Lagrange equations into one unknown function and then in the n-dimensional case are obtained. In section 4 the variational optimal control problem is proposed and solved. In section 5 the results obtained in the previous sections are particularized in the discrete and quantum cases, where two examples are analyzed in details. Finally, section 6 contains our conclusions.

2 Preliminaries

A time scale is an arbitrary closed subset of the real line $\mathbb{R}$. Thus the real numbers and the natural numbers, $\mathbb{N}$, are examples of a time scale. Throughout this article, and following [10], the time scale will be denoted by $\mathbb{T}$. The forward jump operator $\sigma : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{T}$ is defined by

$$\sigma(t) := \inf\{s \in \mathbb{T} : s > t\},$$

while the backward jump operator $\rho : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{T}$ is defined by

$$\rho(t) := \sup\{s \in \mathbb{T} : s < t\},$$

where, $\inf \emptyset = \sup \mathbb{T}$ (i.e. $\sigma(t) = t$ if $\mathbb{T}$ has a maximum t) and $\sup \emptyset = \inf \mathbb{T}$ (i.e. $\rho(t) = t$ if $\mathbb{T}$ has a minimum t). A point $t \in \mathbb{T}$ is called right-scattered if $t < \sigma(t)$, left-scattered if $\rho(t) < t$ and isolated if $\rho(t) < t < \sigma(t)$. In connection we define the backward graininess function $\nu : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ by

$$\nu(t) = t - \rho(t).$$

In order to define the backward time scale derivative down, we need the set $\mathbb{T}_\kappa$ which is derived from the time scale $\mathbb{T}$ as follows: if $\mathbb{T}$ has a right-scattered minimum m , then $\mathbb{T}_\kappa = \mathbb{T} - \{m\}$. Otherwise, $\mathbb{T}_\kappa = \mathbb{T}$.

Definition 2.1. [5] Assume $f : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a function and $t \in \mathbb{T}_\kappa$. Then the backward time-scale derivative $f^\nabla(t)$ is the number (provided it exists) with the property that given any $\epsilon > 0$, there exists a neighborhood U of t (i.e, $U = (t - \delta, t + \delta)$ for some $\delta > 0$) such that

$$|[f(s) - f(\rho(t))] - [s - \rho(t)]| \leq \epsilon |s - \rho(t)| \text{ for all } s \in U \quad (1)$$

Moreover, we say that f is (nabla) differentiable on $\mathbb{T}_\kappa$ provided that $f^\nabla(t)$ exists for all $t \in \mathbb{T}_\kappa$.

The following theorem is Theorem 3.2 in [9] and an analogue to Theorem 1.16 in [10].

Theorem 2.2. [5] Assume $f : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a function and $t \in \mathbb{T}_\kappa$. Then we have the following:

- (i) If f is differentiable at t then f is continuous at t .
- (ii) If f is continuous at t and t is left-scattered, then f is differentiable at t with

$$f^\nabla(t) = \frac{f(t) - f(\rho(t))}{\nu(t)}$$

- (iii) If t is left-dense, then f is differentiable at t if and only if the limit

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow t} \frac{f(t) - f(s)}{t - s}$$

exists as a finite number. In this case

$$f^\nabla(t) = \lim_{s \rightarrow t} \frac{f(t) - f(s)}{t - s}$$

- (iv) If f is ∇ -differentiable at t , then

$$f(t) = f(\rho(t)) + \nu(t)f^\nabla(t)$$

Example 2.3. (i) $\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{R}$ or any any closed interval (The continuous case) $\sigma(t) = \rho(t) = t$, $\nu(t) = 0$ and $f^\nabla(t) = f'(t)$.

(ii) $\mathbb{T} = h\mathbb{Z}$, $h > 0$ or any subset of it. (The difference calculus, a discrete case) $\sigma(t) = t + h$, $\rho(t) = t - h$, $\nu(t) = h$ and $f^\nabla(t) = \nabla_h f(t) = f(t) - f(t - h)$.

(iii) $\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{T}_q = \{q^n : n \in \mathbb{Z}\} \cup \{0\}$, $0 < q < 1$, (quantum calculus)

$\sigma(t) = q^{-1}t$, $\rho(t) = qt$, $\nu(t) = (1 - q)t$ and $f^\nabla(t) = \nabla_q f(t) = \frac{f(t) - f(qt)}{(1 - q)t}$.

(iv) $\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{T}_q^h = \{q^k - \sum_{i=0}^{k-2} q^i h : k \geq 2, k \in \mathbb{N}\} \cup \{\frac{-h}{1-q}\}$, $0 < q < 1$, $h > 0$ (unifying the difference calculus and quantum calculus). $\sigma(t) = q^{-1}(t + h)$, $\rho(t) = qt - h$, $\nu(t) = (1 - q)t + h$ and $f^\nabla(t) = \nabla_q^h f(t) = \frac{f(t) - f(qt - h)}{(1 - q)t + h}$.

If $\alpha_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ then $\rho^{\alpha_0}(t) = q^{\alpha_0}t - \sum_{k=0}^{\alpha_0-1} q^k h$ and so $\nabla_q^h \rho^{\alpha_0}(t) = q^{\alpha_0}$. Note that in this example the backward operator is of the form $\rho(t) = ct + d$ and hence $\mathbb{T}_q^h$ is an element of the class H of time scales that contains the discrete, the usual and the quantum calculus (see [14]).

Theorem 2.4. Suppose $f, g : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are nabla differentiable at $t \in \mathbb{T}_\kappa$. Then,

1. the sum $f + g : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is nabla differentiable at t and $(f + g)^\nabla(t) = f^\nabla(t) + g^\nabla(t)$;
2. for any $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, the function $\lambda f : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is nabla differentiable at t and $(\lambda f)^\nabla(t) = \lambda f^\nabla(t)$;
3. the product $fg : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is nabla differentiable at t and

$$(fg)^\nabla = f^\nabla(t)g(t) + f^\rho(t)g^\nabla(t) = f^\nabla(t)g^\rho(t) + f(t)g^\nabla(t)$$

For the proof of the following lemma we refer to [1]:

Lemma 2.5. Let $\mathbb{T}$ be an H -time scale (In particular $\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{T}_q^h$), $f : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ two times nabla differentiable function and $g(t) = \rho^{\alpha_0}(t)$, for $\alpha_0 \in \mathbb{N}$. Then

$$(f \circ g)^\nabla(t) = f^\nabla(g(t)).g^\nabla(t), \quad t \in \mathbb{T}_\kappa$$

Throughout this article we use for the time scale derivatives and integrals the symbol ∇_q^h which is inherited from the time scale $\mathbb{T}_q^h$. However, our results are true also for the H -time scales (those time scales whose jumping operators have the form $at + b$). The time scale $\mathbb{T}_q^h$ is a natural example of an H -time scale.

Definition 2.6. A function $F : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is called a nabla antiderivative of $f : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ provided $F(t) = f(t)$, for all $t \in \mathbb{T}_\kappa$. In this case, for $a, b \in \mathbb{T}$, we write

$$\int_a^b f(t) \nabla t := F(b) - F(a)$$

The following lemma which extends the fundamental lemma of variational analysis on time scales with nabla derivative is crucial in proving the main results.

Lemma 2.7. Let $g \in C_{ld}, g : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$. Then

$$\int_a^b g^T(t) \eta^\nabla(t) \nabla t \quad \text{for all } \eta \in C_{ld}^1 \quad \text{with } \eta(a) = \eta(b) = 0 \quad (2)$$

holds if and only if

$$g(t) \equiv c \quad \text{on } [a, b]_\kappa \quad \text{for some } c \in \mathbb{R}^n \quad (3)$$

The proof can be achieved by following as in the proof of Lemma 4.1 in [8], (see also [14]).

3 First order Euler-Lagrange equation with delay

We consider the $\mathbb{T}_q^h$ -integral functional $J : S \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$,

$$J(y) = \int_a^b L(x, y^\rho(x), \nabla_q^h y(x), y^\rho(\rho^{\alpha_0}(x)), \nabla_q^h y(\rho^{\alpha_0}(x)) \nabla_q^h x) \quad (4)$$

where

$$a, b \in \mathbb{T}_q^h, a < \rho^{\alpha_0}(b) < b$$

$$L : [a, b] \times (\mathbb{R}^n)^4 \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \text{ and } y^\rho(x) = y(\rho(x))$$

and

$$S = \{y : [\rho^{\alpha_0}(a), b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n : y(x) = \varphi(x) \ (\forall x \in [\rho^{\alpha_0}(a), a]) \text{ and } y(b) = c_0\}$$

We shall shortly write :

$$L(x) \equiv L(x, y^\rho(x), \nabla_q^h y(x), y^\rho(\rho^{\alpha_0}(x)), \nabla_q^h y(\rho^{\alpha_0}(x)))$$

We calculate the first variation of the functional J on the linear manifold S : Let $\eta \in H = \{h : [\rho^{\alpha_0}(a), b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n : h(x) = 0 \ (\forall x \in [\rho^{\alpha_0}(a), a] \cup \{b\})\}$, then

$$\delta J(y(x), \eta(x)) = \frac{d}{d\epsilon} J(y(x) + \epsilon \eta(x))|_{\epsilon=0}$$

$$\int_a^b [\partial_1 L(x) \eta^\rho(x) + \partial_2 L(x) \nabla_q^h \eta(x) + \partial_3 L(x) \eta^\rho(\rho^{\alpha_0}(x)) + q^{\alpha_0} \partial_4 L(x) \nabla_q^h \eta(\rho^{\alpha_0}(x)) \nabla_q^h x] \quad (5)$$

where

$$\partial_1 L = \frac{\partial L}{\partial(y^\rho(x))}, \partial_2 L = \frac{\partial L}{\partial(\nabla_q^h y(x))}, \partial_3 L = \frac{\partial L}{\partial(y^\rho(\rho^{\alpha_0}(x)))} \text{ and } \partial_4 L = \frac{\partial L}{\partial(\nabla_q^h y(\rho^{\alpha_0}(x)))}.$$

and where Lemma 2.5 and that $\nabla_q^h \rho^{\alpha_0}(t) = q^{\alpha_0}$ are used. If we use the change of variable $u = \rho^{\alpha_0}(x)$, which is a linear function, and make use of Theorem 1.98 in [10] and Lemma 2.5 we then obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \delta J(y(x), \eta(x)) &= \int_a^b [\partial_1 L(x) \eta^\rho(x) + \partial_2 L(x) \nabla_q^h \eta(x)] \nabla_q^h x + \\ &\int_a^{\rho^{\alpha_0}(b)} [q^{-\alpha_0} \partial_3 L((\rho^{\alpha_0})^{-1}(x)) \eta^\rho(x) + q^{-\alpha_0} \partial_4 L((\rho^{\alpha_0})^{-1}(x)) \nabla_q^h \eta(x)] \nabla_q^h x \quad (6) \end{aligned}$$

where we have used the fact that $\eta \equiv 0$ on $[\rho^{\alpha_0}(a), a]$.

Splitting the first integral in (6) and rearranging will lead to

$$\begin{aligned} \delta J(y(x), \eta(x)) &= \int_a^{\rho^{\alpha_0}(b)} [\partial_1 L(x) \eta^\rho(x) \\ &+ \partial_2 L(x) \nabla_q^h \eta(x) + q^{-\alpha_0} \partial_3 L((\rho^{\alpha_0})^{-1}(x)) \eta^\rho(x) + q^{-\alpha_0} \partial_4 L((\rho^{\alpha_0})^{-1}(x)) \nabla_q^h \eta(x)] \nabla_q^h x \\ &+ \int_{\rho^{\alpha_0}(b)}^b [\partial_1 L(x) \eta^\rho(x) + \partial_2 L(x) \nabla_q^h \eta(x)] \nabla_q^h x \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

If we make use of part 3 of Theorem 2.4 then we reach

$$\delta J(y(x), \eta(x)) =$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_a^{\rho^{\alpha_0}(b)} \left\{ \partial_2 L(x) \nabla_q^h \eta(x) + q^{-\alpha_0} \partial_4 L((\rho^{\alpha_0})^{-1}(x)) \nabla_q^h \eta(x) + \nabla_q^h \left[\int_a^x \partial_1 L(z) \nabla_q^h z \cdot \eta(x) \right] \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \int_a^x \partial_1 L(z) \nabla_q^h z \cdot \nabla_q^h \eta(x) + q^{-\alpha_0} \nabla_q^h \left[\int_a^x \partial_3 L((\rho^{\alpha_0})^{-1}(z)) \nabla_q^h z \cdot \eta(x) \right] - \right. \\ &\quad \left. q^{-\alpha_0} \int_a^x \partial_3 L((\rho^{\alpha_0})^{-1}(z)) \nabla_q^h z \cdot \nabla_q^h \eta(x) \right\} \nabla_q^h x + \int_{\rho^{\alpha_0}(b)}^b \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

$$\left\{ \partial_2 L(x) \nabla_q^h \eta(x) + \nabla_q^h \left[\int_{\rho^{\alpha_0}(b)}^x \partial_1 L(z) \nabla_q^h z \cdot \eta(x) \right] - \int_{\rho^{\alpha_0}(b)}^x \partial_1 L(z) \nabla_q^h z \cdot \nabla_q^h \eta(x) \right\} \nabla_q^h x \quad (9)$$

In the above equations (8),(9), once choose η such that $\eta(a) = 0$ and $\eta \equiv 0$ on $[q^{\alpha_0}b, b]$ and in another case choose η such that $\eta(b) = 0$ and $\eta \equiv 0$ on $[a, q^{\alpha_0}b]$, and then make use of Lemma 2.7 to arrive at the following theorem:

Theorem 3.1. *Let $J : S \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be the $\mathbb{T}_q^h$ -integral functional*

$$J(y) = \int_a^b L(x, y^\rho(x), \nabla_q^h y(x), y^\rho(\rho^{\alpha_0}(x)), \nabla_q^h y(\rho^{\alpha_0}(x)) \nabla_q^h x \quad (10)$$

where

$$a, b \in \mathbb{T}_q^h, a < \rho^{\alpha_0}(b) < b$$

$$L : [a, b] \times (\mathbb{R}^n)^4 \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \text{ and } y^\rho(x) = y(\rho(x))$$

and

$$S = \{y : [\rho^{\alpha_0}(a), b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n : y(x) = \varphi(x) \ (\forall x \in [\rho^{\alpha_0}(a), a]) \text{ and } y(b) = c_0\}.$$

Then the necessary condition for $J(y)$ to possess an extremum for a given function $y(x)$ is that $y(x)$ satisfies the following Euler-Lagrange equations

$$\nabla_q^h \partial_2 L(x) + q^{-\alpha_0} \nabla_q^h \partial_4 L((\rho^{\alpha_0})^{-1}(x)) = \partial_1 L(x) + q^{-\alpha_0} \partial_3 L((\rho^{\alpha_0})^{-1}(x)), \quad (x \in [a, \rho^{\alpha_0}(b)]_\kappa) \quad (11)$$

and

$$\nabla_q^h \partial_2 L(x) = \partial_1 L(x) \quad (x \in [\rho^{\alpha_0}(b), b]_\kappa) \quad (12)$$

Furthermore, the equation

$$q^{-\alpha_0} \partial_4 L((\rho^{\alpha_0})^{-1}(x)) \eta(x) |_{\rho_a^{\alpha_0}(b)} = 0 \quad (13)$$

holds along $y(x)$ for all admissible variations $\eta(x)$ satisfying $\eta(x) = 0$, $x \in [\rho^{\alpha_0}(a), a] \cup \{b\}$.

The necessary condition represented by (13) is obtained by applying integration by parts in (7) and then substituting equations (11) and (12) in the resulting integrals. The above theorem can be generalized as follows:

Theorem 3.2. Let $J : S^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be the $\mathbb{T}_q^h$ -integral functional

$$\begin{aligned} J(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_m) = & \int_a^b L(x, y_1^\rho(x), \\ & y_2^\rho(x), \dots, y_m^\rho(x), \nabla_q^h y_1(x), \nabla_q^h y_2(x), \dots, \nabla_q^h y_m(x), y_1^\rho(\rho^{\alpha_0}(x)), y_2^\rho(\rho^{\alpha_0}(x)) \\ & , \dots, y_m^\rho(\rho^{\alpha_0}(x)), \nabla_q^h y_1((\rho^{\alpha_0}(x)), \nabla_q^h y_1((\rho^{\alpha_0}(x)), \dots, \nabla_q^h y_m((\rho^{\alpha_0}(x)) \nabla_q^h x \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

where

$$a, b \in \mathbb{T}_q^h, a < \rho^{\alpha_0}(b) < b$$

$$L : [a, b] \times (\mathbb{R}^n)^{4m} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \text{ and } y^\rho(x) = y(\rho(x))$$

and

$$S^m = \{y = (y_1, y_2, \dots, y_m) :$$

$$y_i : [\rho^{\alpha_0}(a), b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n, y_i(x) = \varphi_i(x) \ (\forall x \in [\rho^{\alpha_0}(a), a]) \text{ and } y_i(b) = c_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, m\}.$$

Then a necessary condition for $J(y)$ to possess an extremum for a given function $y(x) = (y_1(x), y_2(x), \dots, y_m(x))$ is that $y(x)$ satisfies the following Euler-Lagrange equations

$$\nabla_q^h \partial_2 L^i(x) + q^{-\alpha_0} \nabla_q^h \partial_4 L^i((\rho^{\alpha_0})^{-1}(x)) =$$

$$\partial_1 L^i(x) + q^{-\alpha_0} \partial_3 L^i((\rho^{\alpha_0})^{-1}(x)), \quad (x \in [a, \rho^{\alpha_0}(b)]_\kappa) \quad (15)$$

and

$$\nabla_q^h \partial_2 L^i(x) = \partial_1 L^i(x) \quad (x \in [\rho^{\alpha_0}(b), b]_\kappa) \quad (16)$$

Furthermore, the equations

$$q^{-\alpha_0} \partial_4 L^i((\rho^{\alpha_0})^{-1}(x)) \eta_i(x) |_{\rho^{\alpha_0}(b)} = 0 \quad (17)$$

hold along $y(x)$ for all admissible variations $\eta_i(x)$ satisfying

$$\eta_i(x) = 0, \quad x \in [\rho^{\alpha_0}(a), a] \cup \{b\}, i = 1, 2, \dots, m$$

where

$$\partial_1 L^i = \frac{\partial L}{\partial(y_i^\rho(x))}, \quad \partial_2 L^i = \frac{\partial L}{\partial(\nabla_q^h y_i(x))}, \quad \partial_3 L^i = \frac{\partial L}{\partial(y_i^\rho(\rho^{\alpha_0}(x)))} \text{ and } \partial_4 L^i = \frac{\partial L}{\partial(\nabla_q^h y_i(\rho^{\alpha_0}(x)))}.$$

4 The optimal-control problem

Our aim in this section is to find the optimal control variable $u(x)$ defined on the H -time scale, which minimizes the performance index

$$J(y, u) = \int_a^b L(x, y^\rho(x), u^\rho(x), y^\rho(\rho^{\alpha_0}(x)), \nabla_q^h y((\rho^{\alpha_0}(x)) \nabla_q^h x) \quad (18)$$

subject to the constraint

$$\nabla_q^h y(x) = G(x, y^\rho(x), u^\rho(x)) \quad (19)$$

such that

$$\begin{aligned} y(b) = c, \quad y(x) = \phi(x) \quad x \in [\rho^{\alpha_0}(a), a] \\ a, b \in \mathbb{T}_q^h, a < \rho^{\alpha_0}(b) < b \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

$$L : [a, b] \times (\mathbb{R}^n)^4 \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \text{ and } y^\rho(x) = y(\rho(x))$$

where c is a constant and L and G are functions with continuous first and second partial derivatives with respect to all of their arguments. To find the optimal control, we define a modified performance index as

$$\begin{aligned} I(y, u) = \int_a^b [L(x, y^\rho(x), u^\rho(x), y^\rho(\rho^{\alpha_0}(x)), \nabla_q^h y((\rho^{\alpha_0}(x)) \nabla_q^h x \\ + \lambda^\rho(x)(\nabla_q^h y(x) - G(x, y^\rho(x), u^\rho(x))) \nabla_q^h x] \quad (21) \end{aligned}$$

where λ is a Lagrange multiplier or an adjoint variable.

Using the the equations (11), (12) and (13) of Theorem 3.2 with $m = 3$, ($y_1 = y$, $y_2 = u$, $y_3 = \lambda$), the necessary conditions for our optimal control are (we remark that as there is no any time scale derivative of $u(x)$, no boundary constraints for it are needed)

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_q^h \lambda^\rho(x) + q^{-\alpha_0} \nabla_q^h \frac{\partial L}{\partial \nabla_q^h(y((\rho^{\alpha_0}(x)))} (\rho^{\alpha_0})^{-1}(x) + \lambda^\rho(x) \frac{\partial G}{\partial y^\rho(x)} - \frac{\partial L}{\partial y^\rho(x)} \\ - q^{-\alpha_0} \frac{\partial L}{\partial (y^\rho((\rho^{\alpha_0}(x)))} ((\rho^{\alpha_0})^{-1}(x)) = 0, \quad (x \in [a, \rho^{\alpha_0}(b)]_\kappa), \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

$$\nabla_q^h \lambda^\rho(x) + \lambda^\rho(x) \frac{\partial G}{\partial y^\rho(x)} - \frac{\partial L}{\partial y^\rho(x)} = 0, \quad (x \in [\rho^{\alpha_0}(b), b]_\kappa) \quad (23)$$

$$\lambda^\rho(x) \frac{\partial G}{\partial u^\rho(x)} - \frac{\partial L}{\partial u^\rho(x)} = 0, \quad (x \in [a, b]) \quad (24)$$

and

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial \nabla_q^h(y((\rho^{\alpha_0}(x)))} (\rho^{\alpha_0})^{-1}(x) \eta(x) \big|_a^{\rho^{\alpha_0}(b)} = 0 \quad (25)$$

and also

$$\nabla_q^h y(x) = G(x, y^\rho(x), u^\rho(x))$$

Note that the condition (25) disappears when the Lagrangian L is free of the delayed time scale derivative of y .

5 The discrete and quantum cases

We recall that the results in the previous sections are valid for time scales whose backward jump operator ρ has the form $\rho(x) = qx - h$, in particular for the time scale $\mathbb{T}_q^h$.

(i) *The discrete case:* If $q = 1$ and $h > 0$ (of special interest the case when $h = 1$), then our work becomes on the discrete time scale $h\mathbb{Z} = \{hn : n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$. In this case the functional under optimization will have the form

$$J^h(y) = h \sum_{i=a+1}^b L(ih, y((i-1)h), \nabla^h y(ih), y(ih - (d+1)h), \nabla^h y(ih - dh))$$

$$a, b \in \mathbb{Z}, d \in \mathbb{N} \text{ and } a < b - d < b,$$

and that $y(bh) = c$, $y(ih) = \varphi(ih)$ for $a - d \leq i \leq a$

where

$$\nabla^h y(x) = y(x) - y(x - h), \quad x \in h\mathbb{Z}.$$

The necessary condition for $J^h(y)$ to possess an extremum for a given function $y : \{ih : i = a - d, a - d + 1, \dots, a, a + 1, \dots, b\} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ is that $y(x)$ satisfies the following h-Euler-Lagrange equations

$$\nabla^h \partial_2 L(ih) + \nabla^h \partial_4 L((i+d)h) = \partial_1 L(ih) + \partial_3 L((i+d)h), \quad (i = a+1, a+2, \dots, b-d) \quad (26)$$

and

$$\nabla^h \partial_2 L(ih) = \partial_1 L(ih) \quad (i = b - d + 1, b - d + 2, \dots, b) \quad (27)$$

Furthermore, the equation

$$\partial_4 L(bh)\eta((b-d)h) - \partial_4 L((a+d)h)\eta(ah) = 0 \quad (28)$$

holds along $y(x)$ for all admissible variations $\eta(x)$ satisfying $\eta(ih) = 0$, $i \in \{a - d, a - d + 1, \dots, a\} \cup \{b\}$.

In this case the h-optimal-control problem would read as:

Find the optimal control variable $u(x)$ defined on the time scale $h\mathbb{Z}$, which minimizes the h-performance index

$$J^h(y, u) = h \sum_{i=a+1}^b L(ih, y((i-1)h), u((i-1)h), y(ih - (d+1)h), \nabla^h y(ih - dh))$$

$$a, b \in \mathbb{Z}, d \in \mathbb{N} \text{ and } a < b - d < b, \quad (29)$$

subject to the constraint

$$\nabla^h y(ih) = G(ih, y((i-1)h), u((i-1)h)), \quad i = a+1, a+2, \dots, b \quad (30)$$

such that

$$y(bh) = c, \quad y(ih) = \phi(ih), \quad (i = a - d, a - d + 1, \dots, a) \quad (31)$$

$$a, b \in \mathbb{N}, a < b - d < b$$

The necessary conditions for this h-optimal control are

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla^h \lambda((i-1)h) + \nabla^h \frac{\partial L}{\partial \nabla^h y((i-d-1)h)}((i+d)h) + \lambda((i-1)h) \frac{\partial G}{\partial y((i-1)h)} - \\ \frac{\partial L}{\partial y((i-1)h)} - \frac{\partial L}{\partial (y((i-d-1)h))}((i+d)h) = 0, \quad (i = a+1, a+2, \dots, b-d), \end{aligned} \quad (32)$$

$$\nabla_q^h \lambda((i-1)h) + \lambda((i-1)h) \frac{\partial G}{\partial y((i-1)h)} - \frac{\partial L}{\partial y((i-1)h)} = 0, \quad (i = b-d+1, b-d+2, \dots, b) \quad (33)$$

$$\lambda((i-1)h) \frac{\partial G}{\partial u((i-1)h)} - \frac{\partial L}{\partial u((i-1)h)} = 0, \quad (i = a, a+1, \dots, b) \quad (34)$$

and

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial \nabla^h y((i+d)h)}(bh)\eta((b-d)h) - \frac{\partial L}{\partial \nabla^h y((i+d)h)}((a+d)h)\eta(ah) = 0 \quad (35)$$

and also

$$\nabla^h y(ih) = G(ih, y((i-1)h), u((i-1)h)), \quad i = a+1, a+2, \dots, b$$

Note that the condition (35) disappears when the Lagrangian L is independent of the delayed ∇^h derivative of y .

Example 5.1. *In order to illustrate our results we analyze an example of physical interest. Namely, let us consider the following discrete action,*

$$J^h(t) = \frac{h}{2} \sum_{i=a+1}^b [\nabla^h y(ih)]^2 - V(y(ih - (d+1)h)), \quad a, b \in \mathbb{N}, \quad a < b-d < b$$

subject to the condition

$$y(bh) = c, \quad y(ih) = \varphi(ih), \quad \text{for } i = a-d, a-d+1, \dots, a$$

The corresponding h -Euler-Lagrange equations are as follows:

$$y(ih) - 2y((i-1)h) + y((i-2)h) + \frac{\partial V}{\partial y(ih - (d+1)h)}((i+d)h), \quad (i = a+1, \dots, b-d) \quad (36)$$

and

$$y(ih) - 2y((i-1)h) + y((i-2)h) = 0, \quad (i = b-d+1, b-d+2, \dots, b) \quad (37)$$

We observe that when the delay is removed, that is $d = 0$, the classical discrete Euler-Lagrange equations are reobtained.

(ii) *The quantum case:* If $0 < q < 1$ and $h = 0$, then our work becomes on the time scale $\mathbb{T}_q = \{q^n : n \in \mathbb{Z}\} \cup \{0\}$. In this case the functional under optimization will have the form

$$J_q(y) = \int_a^b L(x, y(qx), \nabla_q y(x), y(xq^{\alpha_0+1}), \nabla_q y(xq^{\alpha_0})) \nabla_q x \quad (38)$$

where

$$a = q^{\alpha+1}, b = q^\beta, \alpha, \beta, \alpha_0 \in \mathbb{Z}, \alpha > \beta \text{ and } \beta + \alpha_0 < \alpha,$$

$$L : [a, b]_q \times (\mathbb{R}^n)^4 \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \text{ and } [a, b]_q = \{q^i : i = \alpha + 1, \alpha + 2, \dots, \beta\}$$

Using the ∇ -integral theory on time scales, the functional J_q in (38) turns to be

$$J_q(y) = (1 - q) \sum_{i=\alpha}^{\beta} q^i L(q^i, y(q^{i+1}), \nabla_q y(q^i), y(q^{\alpha_0+i+1}), \nabla_q y(q^{\alpha_0+i}))$$

The necessary condition for $J_q(y)$ to possess an extremum for a given function $y : \{q^i : i = \alpha + 1 - \alpha_0, \alpha + 2 - \alpha_0, \dots, \alpha, \alpha + 1, \dots, \beta\} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ is that $y(x)$ satisfies the following q-Euler-Lagrange equations

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_q \frac{\partial L}{\partial(\nabla_q y(x))}(x) + q^{-\alpha_0} \nabla_q \frac{\partial L}{\partial(\nabla_q y(q^{\alpha_0}x))}(q^{-\alpha_0}x) = \\ \frac{\partial L}{\partial y(qx)}(x) + q^{-\alpha_0} \frac{\partial L}{\partial y(q^{\alpha_0+1}x)}(q^{-\alpha_0}x), \quad (x \in [a, q^{\alpha_0}b]_{\kappa}) \end{aligned} \quad (39)$$

and

$$\nabla_q \frac{\partial L}{\partial(\nabla_q y(x))}(x) = \frac{\partial L}{\partial(y(qx))}(x) \quad (x \in [q^{\alpha_0}b, b]_{\kappa}) \quad (40)$$

Furthermore, the equation

$$q^{-\alpha_0} \frac{\partial L}{\partial(\nabla_q y(\rho^{\alpha_0}(x)))}(q^{-\alpha_0}x) \eta(x) \big|_a^{q^{\alpha_0}b} = 0 \quad (41)$$

holds along $y(x)$ for all admissible variations $\eta(x)$ satisfying $\eta(x) = 0$, $x \in [q^{\alpha_0}a, a]_q \cup \{b\}$.

In this case the q-optimal-control problem would read as:

Find the optimal control variable $u(x)$ defined on the $\mathbb{T}_q$ -time scale, which minimizes the performance index

$$J_q(y, u) = \int_a^b L(x, y(qx), u(qx), y(q^{\alpha_0+1}x), \nabla_q y(q^{\alpha_0+1}x)) \nabla_q x \quad (42)$$

subject to the constraint

$$\nabla_q^h y(x) = G(x, y(qx), u(qx)) \quad (43)$$

such that

$$\begin{aligned} y(b) = c, \quad y(x) = \phi(x) \quad x \in [q^{\alpha_0}a, a] \\ a = q^{\alpha+1}, b = q^{\beta}, \alpha_0 + \beta < \alpha + 1 \end{aligned} \quad (44)$$

$$L : [a, b]_q \times (\mathbb{R}^n)^4 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

where c is a constant and L and G are functions with continuous first and second partial derivatives with respect to all of their arguments.

The necessary conditions for this q -optimal control are:

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_q \lambda(qx) + q^{-\alpha_0} \nabla_q \frac{\partial L}{\partial \nabla_q y(q^{\alpha_0+1}x)}(q^{-\alpha_0}x) + \lambda(qx) \frac{\partial G}{\partial y(qx)} - \frac{\partial L}{\partial y(qx)} \\ - q^{-\alpha_0} \frac{\partial L}{\partial y(q^{\alpha_0+1}x)}(q^{-\alpha_0}x) = 0, \quad (x \in [a, q^{\alpha_0}b]_\kappa), \end{aligned} \quad (45)$$

$$\nabla_q \lambda(qx) + \lambda(qx) \frac{\partial G}{\partial y(qx)} - \frac{\partial L}{\partial y^\rho(x)} = 0, \quad (x \in [q^{\alpha_0}b, b]_\kappa) \quad (46)$$

$$\lambda(qx) \frac{\partial G}{\partial u(qx)} - \frac{\partial L}{\partial u(qx)} = 0, \quad (x \in [a, b]_q) \quad (47)$$

and

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial \nabla_q y(q^{\alpha_0}x)}(q^{-\alpha_0}x) \eta(x)|_a^{q^{\alpha_0}b} = 0 \quad (48)$$

and also

$$\nabla_q^h y(x) = G(x, y(qx), u(qx))$$

Note that the condition (48) disappears when the Lagrangian L is independent of the delayed ∇_q derivative of y .

Example 5.2. Suppose that the problem is that of finding a control function $u(x)$ defined on the time scale T_q such that the corresponding solution of the controlled system

$$\nabla_q y(x) = -ry(qx) + u(qx), \quad r > 0, \quad (49)$$

satisfying the conditions:

$$y(b) = c, \quad y(x) = \varphi(x), \quad \text{for } x \in [q^{\alpha_0}a, a]_q, \quad a = q^{\alpha+1}, b = q^\beta, \alpha_0 + \beta < \alpha + 1$$

is an extremum for the q -integral functional (q -quadratic delay cost functional):

$$J_q(y(x), u(x)) = \frac{1}{2}(1-q) \sum_{i=\alpha}^{\beta} q^i [y^2(q^{i+\alpha_0+1}) + u^2(q^{i+1})] \quad (50)$$

According to (47) and (48), the solution of the problem satisfies:

$$\nabla_q \lambda(qx) = r\lambda(qx) + q^{-\alpha_0}y(qx), \quad (x \in [a, q^{\alpha_0}b]_\kappa), \quad (51)$$

$$\nabla_q \lambda(qx) = r\lambda(qx), \quad (x \in [q^{\alpha_0}b, b]_{\kappa}), \quad (52)$$

$$\lambda(qx) = u(qx), \quad (x \in [a, b]_q) \quad (53)$$

and of course

$$\nabla_q y(x) = -ry(qx) + u(qx)$$

When the delay is absent (i.e $\alpha_0 = 0$), it can be shown that the above system is reduced to a second order q-difference equation. Namely, reduced to

$$\nabla_q^2 y(x) + rq(\nabla_q y)(qx) = q(r^2 + 1)y(qx) + qr\nabla_q y(x)$$

If we solve recursively for this equation in terms of an integer power series by using the initial data, then the resulting solution will tend to the solutions of the second order linear differential equation:

$$y'' - (r^2 + 1)y = 0.$$

Clearly the solutions for this equation are : $\exp(\sqrt{r^2 + 1}x)$ and $\exp(-\sqrt{r^2 + 1}x)$. For details see [7].

6 Conclusion

In this manuscript we have developed an optimal variational problem in the presence of delay on time scales whose backward jumping operators are of the form $\rho(t) = qt - h$, $q > 0$, $h \geq 0$, called H -time scales. Such kinds of time scales unify the discrete, the quantum and the continuous cases, and hence the obtained results generalized many previously obtained results either in the presence of delay or without. To formulate the necessary conditions for this optimal control problem, we first obtained the Euler-Lagrange equations for one unknown function then generalized to the n-dimensional case. The state variables of the Lagrangian in this case are defined on the H -time scale and contain some delays. When $q = 1$ and $h = 0$ with the existence of delay some of the results in [3] are recovered. When $0 < q < 1$ and $h = 0$ and the delay is absent most of the results in [7] can be reobtained. When $q = 1$ and the delay is absent some of the results in [11] are reobtained. When the delay is absent and the time scale is free somehow, some of the results in [14] can be recovered as well.

Finally, we would like to mention that we followed the line of nabla time scale derivatives in this article, analogous results can be originated if the delta time scale derivative approach is followed.

Acknowledgments

This work is partially supported by the Scientific and Technical Research Council of Turkey.

References

- [1] T. Abdeljawad, "A note on the chain rule on time scales," *Journal of Arts and Sciences*, vol. 9, pp. 1-6, 2008.
- [2] Malinowska Agnieszka B., Torres Delfim F. M., "Strong minimizers of the calculus of variations on time scales and the Weierstrass condition," *Proc. Est. Acad. Sci.*, vol. 58, no. 4, 2009, <http://arxiv.org/abs/0905.1870>.
- [3] O. P. Agrawal, J. Gregory, and P. Spector, "A Bliss-Type Multiplier Rule for Constrained Time Delay," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 210, no. 15, pp. 702-711, 1997.
- [4] F. M. Atici, D. C. Biles, and A. Lebedinsky, "An application of time scales to economics," *Mathematical and Computer Modelling*, vol. 43, no. 7-8, pp. 718-726, 2006.
- [5] F. M. Atici, G. Sh. Guseinov, "On Green's functions and positive solutions for boundary value problems on time scales," *J. Comput. Appl. Math.*, vol. 141, no. 1-2, pp. 759-769, 2002.
- [6] D. Baleanu, T. Marica (Abdeljawad), and F. Jarad, "Fractional Principles with Delay," *Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and Theoretical*, vol. 41, no. 31, 2008.
- [7] Gaspard Bangerezako, "Variational q-calculus," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 289, pp. 650-665, 2004.
- [8] M. Bohner, "Calculus of variations on time scales," *Dynamical Systems and Applications*, vol. 13, pp. 339-349, 2004.
- [9] M. Bohner and A. Peterson, "Advances in dynamic equations on time scales," *Birkhäuser Boston, Inc.*, Boston, M, 2003.
- [10] M. Bohner and A. Peterson, *Dynamic Equations on Time Scales: An Introduction with Applications*, Birkhäuser, Boston, Mass, USA, 2001.
- [11] J.A. Cadzow, "Discrete Calculus of Variations," *International Journal of Control*, vol. 11, pp. 393-407, 1970.
- [12] G. Sh. Guseinov, "Integration on time scales," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 285, no. 1, pp. 107-127, 2003.
- [13] S. Hilger, "Analysis on measure chains, a unified approach to continuous and discrete calculus," *Results in Mathematics*, vol. 18, no. 1-2, pp. 18-56, 1990.

- [14] N. Martins, and D. F. M. Torres, "Calculus of variations on time scales with nabla derivatives," *Nonlinear Analysis: Theory, Method and Applications*, doi:10.1016/j.na.2008.11.035.
- [15] Almeida Ricardo, Torres Delfim F. M. "Isoperimetric problems on time scales with nabla derivatives," *J. Vib. Control*, vol. 15, no. 6, pp. 951-958, 2009, <http://arxiv.org/abs/0811.3650>.
- [16] J. F. Rosenblueth, "Systems with delay in calculus of variations: A variational Approach," *IMA Journal of Mathematical Control and Information*, vol. 5, pp. 125-145, 1988.
- [17] Ferreira Rui A. C., Torres Delfim F. M. "Higher-order calculus of variations on time scales," *Mathematical control theory and finance*, pp. 149-159, Springer, Berlin, 2008. <http://arxiv.org/abs/0706.3141>.
- [18] Ferreira Rui A. C., Torres Delfim F. M. "Remarks on the calculus of variations on time scales," *Int. J. Ecol. Econ. Stat.*, vol. 9, no. F07, pp. 65-73, 2007. <http://arxiv.org/abs/0706.3152>.
- [19] L. C. Young , *Lectures on the Calculus of Variations and Optimal Control Theory*, Saunders, Philadelphia, USA, 1969.
- [20] Bartosiewicz Zbigniew, and D. F. M. Torres, "Noether's theorem on time scales," *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, vol. 342, no.2, pp. 1220-1226, 2008.